



**Global
Partnership**
for Effective Development
Co-operation

OWG Proposal: a tailored monitoring approach for fragile and conflict affected situations

17th Steering Committee Meeting

26-27 March 2019, Kampala Uganda

Outline

1. Progress since SCM16



2. Proposed monitoring approach

- Improvements to the process
 - Tailoring the content



3. Next steps

- Development of measurement approaches
 - Review of the monitoring process
- Re-conceptualising the monitoring tool

Progress since SCM16 in November 2018

- ✓ 3rd OWG meeting held in February 2019
- ✓ Consultation beyond the working group with:
 - ✓ Governments
 - ✓ Development partners
- ✓ Building on the 2 OWG papers, and 6 action areas presented at SCM16, OWG have developed a proposal for monitoring effectiveness in FCAS



Proposed monitoring approach

1. Possible improvements to the process

- Comprehensive **review of the monitoring process** needed in context of preparations for next monitoring round
- Preliminary thinking:
 - **Greater in-kind technical assistance**
 - **An improved reporting tool**

Proposed monitoring approach

2. Tailoring the content

Action area	Indicator	Measurement
Mutual accountability	Mutual accountability among development actors is strengthened through inclusive dialogue	Adapted indicator 7
Political dialogue	Foster legitimate politics through regular political dialogue	[Placeholder]
Alignment with national priorities/ strengthening national capacity	Countries strengthen national development planning tools/ frameworks	Adapted indicator 1b
	Development partners use existing country-led tools/ frameworks	Adapted indicator 1a
	Development partners strengthen national capacities	[Placeholder]
	Reduce redundancy and overlap of actors and activities	[Placeholder/could be covered under 7]

Proposed monitoring approach

2. Tailoring the content

Action area	Indicator	Measurement
Use of country systems	Partner countries strengthen the quality of their public financial management systems	Indicator 9a
	Development partners use and strengthen country systems	Adapted indicator 9b
Humanitarian-development-peace coherence	Foster humanitarian- development-peace coherence	[Placeholder]

Next steps

1. Development of measurement approaches and indicator methodologies

2. A review of the monitoring process

3. Re-conceptualising the monitoring tool

2019

2020

Next
GPEDC
monitoring
round

Questions

- Is there a need to be more selective or add components to adequately cover the six critical action areas? Are there key issues/stakeholders that require greater attention?
- Do you foresee benefits/limitations with revising the monitoring tool to allow for relevant questions to be asked, and others to be skipped?
- What considerations need to be accounted for in revising the monitoring process for fragile contexts?