

# Joint Support Team work plan 2015-16


Output	Activities	Budget (OECD+UNDP)	Result
<b>1. Monitoring framework refined and implemented</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Refine indicator methodologies</li> <li>• Support to 2<sup>nd</sup> monitoring round</li> <li>• Functioning of Advisory Group</li> </ul>	<b>\$ 3 117 016</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Second monitoring report</li> <li>• Proposal for strengthened GPEDC monitoring framework</li> </ul>
<b>2. Analytical work produced and disseminated</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Scoping &amp; synthesis of good practices</li> <li>• Organisation of regional workshops/learning platforms</li> <li>• Demand-driven support to country led initiatives</li> </ul>	<b>\$ 3 985 620</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Good practices disseminated</li> <li>• Mutual learning among stakeholders and initiatives to strengthen country-led implementation</li> </ul>
<b>3. Events and communication initiatives</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strengthened GPEDC online presence and information flow</li> <li>• Organisation of high-profile GPEDC side events</li> </ul>	<b>\$ 1 777 950</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Visibility for effective development co-operation in major international events and web/media</li> </ul>
<b>4. Secretariat and advisory services</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Secretariat and advisory support to Co-Chairs and SC</li> <li>• Travel for developing country participants to SC meetings</li> </ul>	<b>\$ 2 069 200</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Quality support to Co-Chairs and Steering Committee, including inputs for substantive dialogue</li> </ul>
		<b>\$ 10 949 786</b>	
<b>5. Second GPEDC High-Level Meeting</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Logistical and substantive support to preparations</li> <li>• Developing country travel facilitation</li> </ul>	<b>\$ (TBCD)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effectively organised second High-Level Meeting</li> </ul>

# Joint Support Team structure and staff allocations

Head of Division\*\*

Senior Management Oversight

Director, UN Affairs \*\*  
Senior Advisor \*\*



\* Forthcoming full-time staff

\*\* Part-time support to GPEDC \*\*\* Italy supported

FTE Full time equivalent, estimated for current Paris and New York based team members (16.6). Excludes senior management in both organisations who devote significant time to advancing the goals and objectives of the Global Partnership.

# Overview of funding [1 Sept 2015]

## Joint support team budget 2015-16

OECD \$4,806,600

UNDP \$6,143,186

## Pledges/Contributions made to date

Assessed and Voluntary Contributions: €2,100,000  
of which € 500,000 (NL); € 150,000 (Ireland);  
€ 148,124 (Canada); € 70,000 (Japan);  
€ 45,591 (Mexico); € 30,000 (Slovak Rep)

Carry over from 2014: \$ 1,200,000  
€ 500,000 (NL); € 200,000 (EU);  
CHF 400,000 (SDC); \$ 200,000 (Mexico)

## Funding gap

OECD \$1,433,800\*

UNDP \$ 3,547,333

\* This funding gap is based on current assumptions made by the OECD about contributions that other DAC members could be expected to provide in 2016 to the OECD DAC Programme of Work and Budget for 2015-16.

# Funding Update - UNDP

Providers	Contribution pledged and/or agreed (USD)
Contribution carried over from 2014	USD 1,200,050
EC	USD 241,295
Switzerland	USD 414,508
Mexico	USD 200,000
Netherlands (pledged)	USD 540,000
UK/DFID (JPO support pledged)	---
<b>TOTAL RECEIVED</b>	<b>USD 2,595,853</b>
<b>TOTAL REQUIRED</b>	<b>USD 6,143,186</b>
<b>FUNDING GAP</b>	<b>USD 3,547,333</b>

The following 15 partners contributed to 2013-2014 workplan budget:

Austria, Denmark, Italy, Germany, Norway, Slovakia – earmarked for HLM 1.  
 Canada, EC, Finland, Ireland, Japan, South Korea, Switzerland, UK/DFID, USAID  
 contributed to UNDP JST workplan.

# Why is urgent funding necessary?

JST has not scaled down the implementation of the 2015 work plan

**BUT**, a continued funding gap means ultimately endangering many operations in 2016

- **A strengthened and more inclusive monitoring process** – intensified communication, outreach and use of findings at country, regional, and global level will be hindered, limiting potential to strengthen the relevance of the monitoring framework
- **Analytical and substantive work to demonstrate the value and results of multi-stakeholder partnerships on the ground** – advisory and coordination support to Global Partnership Initiatives and other member-led efforts to implement Busan principles and commitments, scoping, synthesis and dissemination of good practices, promoting country-led achievements.
- **Visibility for effective development co-operation in major international events and web/media** – communication and outreach efforts will be hindered.

# How to contribute?

Funding and reporting procedure  
= standard procedures in place in both organisations\*

OECD-executed activities financed through the  
**DAC Programme of Work and Budget**

**Reporting:** OECD wide results reporting on  
PWB implementation biennially

UNDP-executed activities financed through  
**cost-sharing contribution agreements**

**Reporting:** Expenditure reports, progress  
narrative for UNDP global programs

## Contacts

John Egan  
(+33 1 85 55 64 26 /  
[john.egan@oecd.org](mailto:john.egan@oecd.org))

Yuko Suzuki Naab (+1 212 906 6509 /  
[yuko.suzuki@undp.org](mailto:yuko.suzuki@undp.org))

Derek Kilner (+1 212 906 5742 /  
[derek.kilner@undp.org](mailto:derek.kilner@undp.org))

For more practical information: [www.effectivecooperation.org/support](http://www.effectivecooperation.org/support)

\* Upon their expression of interest, governments and organisations interested in funding the JST are introduced to the respective standard funding and reporting procedures of both organisations, carried out in close collaboration with corporate services of both UNDP and OECD, observing each organisation's standard budgeting practice.