1. National development planning and results orientation

Overall quality of national results framework
High-quality, inclusive and results-oriented development strategies are critical for countries’ ownership over their development and the implementation of the 2030 Agenda and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The quality of national development planning in Philippines is high (98%).

The national development strategy and results framework...
... defines priorities, targets and indicators

... includes budget or costing information

... aligns to SDGs

Regular progress reports are available

Extent of use of country-owned results frameworks by development partners
Development partner alignment to country-led development priorities is at the heart of country ownership. Global Partnership monitoring assesses whether interventions: draw objectives from national development strategies; draw indicators from country results frameworks; use government data and statistics for monitoring; and involve government in evaluations. The first three elements provide the official data to report on SDG indicator 17.15.1. In Philippines, development partners align to country priorities to a medium extent (68% - SDG indicator 17.15.1).

2. Multi-stakeholder engagement

Recognising that successful development efforts require the inclusive and equitable participation of all actors, the 2030 Agenda calls for collective action by the whole of society. Global Partnership monitoring examines the engagement of civil society and the private sector from both the perspective of the government and representatives of these stakeholder groups.

Quality of public-private dialogue
Government representatives perceive the quality of public-private dialogue as effective, SMEs as effective, large private sector firms as consolidating and trade unions as consolidating.

Enabling environment for civil society organisations...
... was reported as moderate by government representatives, basic by civil society organisations and basic by development partners.

Philippines is a lower middle-income country (LMIC) with a GNI of 3,660 USD per capita (2017) and a population of 105 million inhabitants (2017). In the 2018 Monitoring Round, Philippines reported on 2.1 billion USD in development co-operation flows.

Philippines
3. Quality and use of public financial management systems

Strengthening public financial management systems
Strong public financial management (PFM) systems are an essential element of good governance and vital to achieving development goals. Philippines experienced significant progress in the quality of its PFM systems.

Development partners’ use of country systems
Development partners’ use of these systems to deliver co-operation both lowers transaction costs but also helps to accelerate their strengthening. In Philippines, use of country PFM system was reported to be 79% in both 2016 and 2018.

Further, legislative oversight of the budget has decreased. The share of development co-operation recorded on budgets subject to parliamentary scrutiny decreased from 73% in 2016 to 49% in 2018.

Overall use of country systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Philippines</th>
<th>LMICs</th>
<th>Trend 2018 vs 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Budget execution</td>
<td>66%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial reporting</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditing</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procurement systems</td>
<td>not in place</td>
<td>in place</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Predictability and forward planning

High-quality and timely information on development co-operation helps governments in planning and managing resources for results and can guide development partners in coordinating their support with other providers, as to avoid fragmentation and duplication of efforts.

Annual predictability of development co-operation is high, with 98% of co-operation disbursed as scheduled. Medium-term predictability is medium, with 62% of co-operation available in forward looking expenditure plans for the next three years.

Predictability of development co-operation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>Trend 2018 vs 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disbursements as scheduled</td>
<td>90% 98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium-term predictability</td>
<td>62% 75%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Mutual accountability mechanisms

Mutual accountability underpins the efforts of development actors to meet joint commitments, improve how they work together and increase their development effectiveness. Mutual accountability mechanisms are made up of multiple, reinforcing components that can help enhance transparency and accountability at country level. Global Partnership monitoring defines and assesses mutual accountability against five components. Philippines has five out of five components in place.

Component 1: Comprehensive policy framework for development co-operation

Component 2: Country-level targets for government and development partners

Component 3: Regular joint assessment of progress

Component 4: Assessments are inclusive and involve non-state actors

Component 5: Timely, publicly available results of assessments
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